1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9   EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 TIMOTHY BUFORD,   1:02-cv-06187-OWW-DLB-P

12   Plaintiff,   **ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
                                       RECOMMENDATIONS** (Doc. 58)
13 vs.
                                       **ORDER DISMISSING CERTAIN
14 PAROLE AGENT MOUNTS, et al.,   CLAIMS**

15   Defendants.   **ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANTS
                                   /   TO RESPOND TO THIRD AMENDED
16   COMPLAINT**

17

18   Plaintiff, Timothy Buford ("plaintiff"), a state prisoner

19 proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this civil

20 rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter

21 was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28

22 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72-302.

23   On March 13, 2006, the Magistrate Judge filed Findings and

24 Recommendations herein which were served on plaintiff and which

25 contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the Findings

26 and Recommendations were to be filed within thirty (30) days. On

27 April 13, 2006, plaintiff filed a motion to extend time. On May

28 17, 2006, the court granted plaintiff an additional thirty (30)

                                 1

1 days within which to respond. To date, plaintiff has not filed

2 objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and

3 Recommendations.

4   In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.

5 § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 73-305, this Court has conducted a

6 <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the

7 entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to

8 be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

9   Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

10   1.   The Findings and Recommendations, filed March 13, 2006,

11 are ADOPTED IN FULL;

12   2.   This action proceed only against defendants Mounts,

13 Worely, and Gipson on the Fourth Amendment and Retaliation

14 claims;

15   3.   All other claims are DISMISSED; and,

16   4.   Defendants respond to the third amended complaint

17 within **twenty (20) days** from the date of service of this order.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 **Dated: August 6, 2006**   **/s/ Oliver W. Wanger**
    emm0d6   UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28